

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	VENTOR ATTORNEY DOC		CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/630,931		08/02/2000	Judith W. Zyskind		ELITRA.006A 9101		
20995	7590	03/03/2003			·		
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP					EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN FOURTEEN		)R	_	RAO, MANJUNATH N			
IRVINE, CA 92614					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				L_	1652		
					DATE MAILED: 03/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A		Applicant(s)					
		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Symmony	09/630,931		ZYSKIND, JUDITH W.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	The state that the communication on	Manjunath N F		1652					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	April 2001 .							
2a)□	•	his action is nor	a-final.						
3)□									
Disposition	on of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application	n.							
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consid	leration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-50 are subject to restriction and/or	r election require	ement.						
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t								
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on			oved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachmen									
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)	Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/630,931

Art Unit: 1652

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-6, drawn to a method of characterizing a promoter, classified in class
   435, subclass 6.
- II. Claims 7-20, drawn to a method for identifying a regulatory element, classified in class 435, subclass 6
- III. Claim 21, drawn to a method of detecting successful transformation, classified in class 435, subclass 471.
- IV. Claims 22-33, drawn to nucleic acid encoding fusion protein, vectors and host cells, classified in class 435, subclass 252.3.
- V. Claims 34-36, drawn to chittobiase polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 209.
- VI. Claims 37-50, drawn to a method for monitoring promoter activity, classified in class 435, subclass 6.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I-III, VI are patentably distinct from each other. The method of for characterizing a promoter of group I, the method for identifying a regulatory element of group II, the method for detecting successful transformation of group III and the method of monitoring promoter activity of group VI are all unrelated as they comprise distinct steps, utilize different products and produce different results. The groups have acquired separate status in the art and separate fields of search as further evidenced by their separate classification.

Inventions I, II and IV are patentably distinct from each other. The product of group IV is neither used nor made in the methods of groups I and II. They are subject to separate manufacture and sale. The groups have acquired separate status in the art and separate fields of search as further evidenced by their separate classification.

Application/Control Number: 09/630,931

Art Unit: 1652

Inventions IV and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polynucleotides can be used as probes in a hybridization as opposed to its use in transformation.

Inventions IV and V are patentably distinct from each other. The polypeptide of group V, the polynucleotide of group IV, each comprise amino acid sequences and nucleotide sequences which are chemically unrelated, do not require each other for practice; have separate utilities, such as use of the group V polypeptide to catalyze chittobiase reaction versus the use of polynucleotide in a hybridization reaction and are subject to separate manufacture and sale. The groups have acquired separate status in the art and separate fields of search.

Inventions I- III, VI and V are patentably distinct from each other. The product of group V is neither used nor made in the methods of groups I-III, VI. They are subject to separate manufacture and sale. The groups have acquired separate status in the art and separate fields of search as further evidenced by their separate classification.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claims 4, 10, 23 and 40 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising several different organisms. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 09/630,931

Art Unit: 1652

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Daniel Hart on 10-11-01 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Manjunath Rao whose telephone number is (703) 306-5681. The Examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30 a.m. to 3:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, P.Achutamurthy, can be reached on (703) 308-3804. The fax number for Official Papers to Technology Center 1600 is (703) 305-3014. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

PONNATHAPU ACHUT MURTHY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1800

Manjunath N. Rao. Ph.D. November 26, 2001